

**The Local Government Ombudsman's
Annual Review
York City Council
for the year ended
31 March 2009**

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual reviews.

Contents of Annual Review

Section 1: Complaints about York City Council 2008/09	3
Introduction.....	3
Enquiries and complaints received	3
Complaint outcomes	3
Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman	5
Training in complaint handling	5
Conclusions	5
Section 2: LGO developments.....	6
Introduction.....	6
Council First.....	6
Statement of reasons: consultation	6
Making Experiences Count (MEC)	6
Training in complaint handling	6
Adult Social Care Self-funding	7
Internal schools management.....	7
Further developments.....	7
Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2008/09	8
Appendix 2: Local authority report 2008/09	

Section 1: Complaints about York City Council 2008/09

Introduction

This annual review provides a summary of the complaints we have dealt with about York City Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the review will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two appendices form an integral part of this review: statistical data for 2008/09 and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Changes to our way of working and statistics

A change in the way we operate means that the statistics about complaints received in 2008/09 are not directly comparable with those from 2007/08. Since 1 April 2008 the new LGO Advice Team has been the single point of contact for all enquiries and new complaints. The number of calls to our service has increased significantly since then. It handles more than 3,000 calls a month, together with written and emailed complaints. Our advisers now provide comprehensive information and advice to callers at the outset with a full explanation of the process and possible outcomes. It enables callers to make a more informed decision about whether putting their complaint to us is an appropriate course of action. Some decide to pursue their complaint direct with the council first.

It means that direct comparisons with some of the previous year's statistics are difficult and could be misleading. So this annual review focuses mainly on the 2008/09 statistics without drawing those comparisons.

Enquiries and complaints received

Our Advice Team received 57 complaints and enquiries during the year. Of these 18 were about issues in the 'Other' category such as antisocial behaviour, licensing, environmental health; 12 were about planning-related matters, eight were in the housing category, and eight concerned transport and highway issues.

We treated 17 of those complaints and enquiries as premature and in a further 12 cases advice was given (usually to make a complaint direct to the Council). The remaining 28 complaints were forwarded to the investigative team either as new complaints or as premature complaints that had been resubmitted.

Complaint outcomes

I decided 30 complaints against the Council during the year. In 12 of those cases I found no evidence of maladministration. I used my discretion not to investigate a further seven. Typically these are cases where even though there may have been some fault by the Council there is no significant injustice to the complainant. In four cases I took the view that the matters complained about were outside my jurisdiction and so they were not investigated.

When we complete an investigation, we generally issue a report. This year we issued no reports against your Council.

Local settlements

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, a council takes or agrees to take some action that we consider to be a satisfactory response to the complaint. In 2008/09, 27.4% of all complaints the Ombudsmen decided and which were within our jurisdiction were local settlements. Of the complaints we decided against your authority seven were decided as local settlements.

In one case about local taxation the Council failed to respond to a complaint which the complainant first made in September 2007 about her council tax bill and advice about student discounts. She made a further complaint in November 2007 but no action was taken. This led to the bailiffs visiting the complainant in January 2008 and the Council still failed to reply to a further complaint about this. At the same time the Council was also pressurising the complainant to pay more than she could afford towards the arrears. The Council agreed to apply the correct student discount for part of the period concerned which halved the arrears, to offset compensation of £200 against the arrears and to accept £20 per month towards the remainder.

In a planning case, the Council failed to erect a site notice to advertise a planning application for development at the rear of the complainant's property. As a result of this failure she was not aware of the proposals until building work commenced and so lost the opportunity to object. If she had objected the Members of the Planning Committee would have carried out a site visit and considered her objections. In addition the officer's report to the Committee did not address all aspects of the complainant's amenity, including over-dominance and loss of light, so Members did not consider these issues. I concluded that, but for the maladministration the outcome may well have been different but could not conclude that it definitely would have been. The Council agreed to pay the complainant £1000 compensation.

In a third case the complainant lived close to a former airfield which was used for motorsport. Nearby residents had been complaining about noise nuisance for some time. The complainant had asked the Council two specific questions about the unauthorised use of the airfield for motor activities in July 2007 which the Council did not answer. The Council wrote to the complainant with an apology and provided a detailed response to the questions. It also confirmed it would continue to keep residents informed as to progress, about efforts to control the nuisance.

One complaint was about housing repairs, where the Council had delayed for two weeks in repairing a hot water heater leaving the complainants without hot water for this period. The Council promptly offered £115 on receipt of our enquiry letter.

There was one complaint about traffic management where the Council had carried out consultation on its local transport plan with residents affected by proposed changes in traffic management. It had failed to send the consultation documents to the complainant and her immediate neighbours but they had found out about the matter shortly before the consultation period had ended. The Council was also unclear about alterations to local junctions in their area which had already been granted planning permission as part of a nearby major development, but the complainants did not have a significant injustice from this. The Council had already agreed that the consultation document could have been better worded and that it needed to improve its communications. So it had learned from the complaint but it had not apologised to the complainant until the complaint came to us.

The remaining locally settled complaints were about parking, traffic and regeneration and improvement. The Council remedied these seven complaints in ways which I considered was appropriate. It paid a total of £1,490, as well as providing other benefits, to the people affected.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made enquiries on 20 complaints during the year. The Council's average response time of 35.3 days is exactly the same as last year and falls significantly outside our target of 28 days. I welcome the improvement in response times on Planning and building control complaints from 43.3 days to 28.5 days but am disappointed to see that the response times in complaints about housing and antisocial behaviour are over 40 days. I hope the Council can take some positive steps over the coming year to improve its performance in this area.

Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. All courses are presented by experienced investigators. They give participants the opportunity to practise the skills needed to deal with complaints positively and efficiently. We can also provide customised courses to help authorities to deal with particular issues and occasional open courses for individuals from different authorities.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and bookings.

Conclusions

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

**J R White
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks No 2
Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry
CV4 8JB**

June 2009

Section 2: LGO developments

Introduction

This annual review also provides an opportunity to bring councils up to date on developments – current and proposed – in the LGO and to seek feedback. It includes our proposal to introduce a ‘statement of reasons’ for Ombudsmen decisions.

Council First

From 1 April 2009, the LGO has considered complaints only where the council’s own complaints procedure has been completed. Local authorities have been informed of these new arrangements, including some notable exceptions. We will carefully monitor the impact of this change during the course of the year.

Statement of reasons: consultation

The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 made provision for the LGO to publish statements of reasons relating to the individual decisions of an Ombudsman following the investigation of a complaint. The Ombudsmen are now consulting local government on their proposal to use statements of reasons. The proposal is that these will comprise a short summary (about one page of A4) of the complaint, the investigation, the findings and the recommended remedy. The statement, naming the council but not the complainant, would usually be published on our website.

We plan to consult local authorities on the detail of these statements with a view to implementing them from October 2009.

Making Experiences Count (MEC)

The new formal, one stage complaint handling arrangement for adult social care was also introduced from 1 April 2009. The LGO is looking to ensure that this formal stage is observed by complainants before the Ombudsmen will consider any such complaint, although some may be treated as exceptions under the Council First approach. The LGO also recognises that during the transition from the existing scheme to the new scheme there is going to be a mixed approach to considering complaints as some may have originated before 1 April 2009. The LGO will endeavour to provide support, as necessary, through dedicated events for complaints-handling staff in adult social care departments.

Training in complaint handling

Effective Complaint Handling in Adult Social Care is the latest addition to our range of training courses for local authority staff. This adds to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution), and courses for social care staff at both of these levels. Demand for our training in complaint handling remains high. A total of 129 courses were delivered in 2008/09. Feedback from participants shows that they find it stimulating, challenging and beneficial in their work in dealing with complaints.

Adult Social Care Self-funding

The Health Bill 2009 proposes for the LGO to extend its jurisdiction to cover an independent complaints-handling role in respect of self-funded adult social care. The new service will commence in 2010.

Internal schools management

The Apprenticeship, Skills, Children and Learning Bill (ASCL) 2009 proposes making the LGO the host for a new independent complaints-handling function for schools. In essence, we would consider the complaint after the governing body of the school had considered it. Subject to legislation, the new service would be introduced, in pilot form, probably in September 2010.

Further developments

I hope this information gives you an insight into the major changes happening within the LGO, many of which will have a direct impact on your local authority. We will keep you up to date through LGO Link as each development progresses but if there is anything you wish to discuss in the meantime please let me know.

**J R White
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks No 2
Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry
CV4 8JB**

June 2009

Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2008/09

Introduction

This year, the annual review only shows 2008/09 figures for enquiries and complaints received, and for decisions taken. This is because the change in the way we operate (explained in the introduction to the review) means that these statistics are not directly comparable with statistics from previous years.

Table 1. LGO Advice Team: Enquiries and complaints received

This information shows the number of enquiries and complaints received by the LGO, broken down by service area and in total. It also shows how these were dealt with, as follows.

Formal/informal prematures: The LGO does not normally consider a complaint unless a council has first had an opportunity to deal with that complaint itself. So if someone complains to the LGO without having taken the matter up with a council, the LGO will usually refer it back to the council as a 'premature complaint' to see if the council can itself resolve the matter. These are 'formal premature complaints'. We now also include 'informal' premature complaints here, where advice is given to the complainant making an enquiry that their complaint is premature. The total of premature complaints shown in this line *does not include* the number of resubmitted premature complaints (see below).

Advice given: These are enquiries where the LGO Advice Team has given advice on why the Ombudsman would not be able to consider the complaint, other than the complaint being premature. For example, the complaint may clearly be outside the Ombudsman's jurisdiction. It also includes cases where the complainant has not given enough information for clear advice to be given, but they have, in any case, decided not to pursue the complaint.

Forwarded to the investigative team (resubmitted prematures): These are cases where there was either a formal premature decision, or the complainant was given informal advice that their case was premature, and the complainant has resubmitted their complaint to the Ombudsman after it has been put to the council. *These figures need to be added to the numbers for formal/informal premature complaints (see above) to get the full total number of premature complaints. They also needed to be added to the 'forwarded to the investigative team (new)' to get the total number of forwarded complaints.*

Forwarded to the investigative team (new): These are the complaints that have been forwarded from the LGO Advice Team to the Investigative Team for further consideration. The figures may include some complaints that the Investigative Team has received but where we have not yet contacted the council.

Table 2. Investigative Team: Decisions

This information records the number of decisions made by the LGO Investigative Team, broken down by outcome, within the period given. **This number will not be the same as the number of complaints forwarded from the LGO Advice Team** because some complaints decided in 2008/09 will already have been in hand at the beginning of the year, and some forwarded to the Investigative Team during 2008/09 will still be in hand at the end of the year. Below we set out a key explaining the outcome categories.

MI reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration causing injustice.

LS (local settlements): decisions by letter discontinuing our investigation because action has been agreed by the authority and accepted by the Ombudsman as a satisfactory outcome for the complainant.

M reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration but causing no injustice to the complainant.

NM reps: where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding no maladministration by the council.

No mal: decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation because we have found no, or insufficient, evidence of maladministration.

Omb disc: decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation in which we have exercised the Ombudsman's general discretion not to pursue the complaint. This can be for a variety of reasons, but the most common is that we have found no or insufficient injustice to warrant pursuing the matter further.

Outside jurisdiction: these are cases which were outside the Ombudsman's jurisdiction.

Table 3. Response times

These figures record the average time the council takes to respond to our first enquiries on a complaint. We measure this in calendar days from the date we send our letter/fax/email to the date that we receive a substantive response from the council. The council's figures may differ somewhat, since they are likely to be recorded from the date the council receives our letter until the despatch of its response.

Table 4. Average local authority response times 2008/09

This table gives comparative figures for average response times by authorities in England, by type of authority, within three time bands.